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NEWS and LOGISTICS

- New members
- ISO 14046 Water Footprint: standard is approved, goes to FDIS
- Logistics:
  - Monthly active member meeting (this one)
  - Monthly meeting for each of sub-working groups (stress, HH, ecosystems)
  - Experts invited on specific meetings or to comment on documents
Framework discussion

1- Figure representing the outcome of the last meeting (see Fig.1)
2- Discussion from Jane regarding compensation
3- Proposal from Brad: endpoint modeling and weighting to single parameter (see Fig.2)
Fig. 1: Outcome of kick-off meeting
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Fig. 2: Proposal from Brad
Single indicator for water use
(see Ridoutt and Pfister 2013 IJLCA 18:204-207 for example, also discussion in Ridoutt and Pfister 2013 JIE 17:337-339)

Note on weighting and ISO (14040/44 and 14046): weighting is permitted on the results of category indicators, and these need to be made available along with the weighted results.

Water inventory → Endpoint impact assessment model(s) relevant to AoP Human Health → Indicator results for HH (DALYs) → Weighting → Translation of combined weighted results into familiar unit, such as m3 equiv

Endpoint impact assessment model(s) relevant to AoP Ecosystems → Indicator results for Ecosystems (eg species.yr)
Framework: way forward?

- Efforts to model impacts to endpoint for both human health and ecosystems
- Efforts to develop the stress indicator as agreed on at the last meeting
- Based on results developed, agree on the desire or not to propose a normalised and/or weighted indicator, and accordingly, compare with stress indicator

Note:
- Normalisation refers to endpoint results presented with “consistant units”
- Weighting refers to the use of a weighting scheme to combine ecosystem and human health impacts in one indicator
Sub-group summary: Stress

- Two approaches have emerged from the discussions, to be explored (see next slides)
- Need for one single and simple parameter

   Note: simple to use and to communicate/explain does not mean that the model is simple!

- Jane Bare to share her ongoing work at the next meeting
Approach (b1): generic midpoint

Include Vulnerability Factors for HH ($VF_{HH}$) and EQ ($VF_{EQ}$) based on endpoint modelling

The general structure could be:

$$WIF_{\text{midpoint}} = f\left( \frac{\text{Consumption}}{\text{Availability}} \right) \times VF_{HH} \times VF_{EQ}$$

The factors need to account for regional specific circumstances

**PROS:** Represents elements of both ecosystems and human health impacts

**CONS:** care should be used to avoid implicit weighting
Approach (b2): stress based on the ratio of all water user’s needs to available water

\[
\text{STRESS} = F \left( \frac{\text{Water needs (humans + ecosystems)}}{\text{Renewable water availability}} \right)
\]

**Water needs - humans:** water consumption

**Water needs - ecosystems:** needs to be investigated how this can be done with reliable science

**Renewable water availability:** considering only renewable water allows to reflect aquifer overuse

**PROS:** Generic – no weighting – simple

**CONS:** Challenge of representing ecosystems water needs in a reliable way, consult with ecologists?
Sub-group summary: Human Health

- No agreement on how/where to model the midpoint yet
- The group decides to model all the way to endpoint
- A midpoint can be proposed later, either a point along the impact pathway, or a normalized endpoint
- Masaharu Motoshita to share his recent work at the next meeting
Sub-group summary: Ecosystems

- Framework is still in need of work and consensus
- CIRAIG will translate and present the results of their work on ecosystem aiming to clarify the different impact pathways and gaps before the next meeting
- Problem of coverage for most methods
- Latest work from Francesca Verones and Danielle Tendall to be considered
Other activities

- Training: South Africa in March (UNEP) and SETAC Basel, May
  - Training material soon to be available on website
- Montpellier Chair opening (March 25rd)
- Sponsorship: Press release in preparation
- Website is being re-designed
- Next meeting: April 2, 3, 4, 7?
THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION